

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,018	12/06/2001	Peter Volz	AP9650	1949
44200	7590 12/29/20	04	EXAMINER	
	N MILLER SCHW	BASTIANE	LLI, JOHN	
32270 TELEGRAPH RD SUITE 225 BINGHAM FARMS, MI 48025 <sup>1</sup> 2457			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3751	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
_		10/009,018	VOLZ, PETER		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		John Bastianelli	3751		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 No</u>	ovember 2004.			
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 7 and 10 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

### DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner would like to note that the amendment should use a consistent method of amending the claims (the applicant should use either strikethrough or bracket method but not both). Also, the amendment should not be double bracketed. The applicant has included the term "part" at the end of claim 7, which it appears the applicant was trying to remove.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by German Publication DE 198 14 304.4.

German Publication DE 198 14 304.4 discloses an electromagnetic valve (10 Teile) having a magnet armature, a magnet core member, a valve housing with a valve coil and accommodates a valve closure member and a valve seat, wherein the valve housing has a one-piece sleeve (ferrit. Band) fabricated from a unitary piece of material having a uniform cross-section throughout and the one-piece sleeve includes a sidewall portion extending from a generally continuous bottom portion, wherein said bottom portion directly contacts and receives the valve seat and wherein the one piece sleeve has a stepped portion that accommodates a ring filter and a retaining collar (rostfreies ferrit. Band) and the one-piece sleeve part are fixedly attached. The retaining collar being formed by being deepdrawn is a product by process. The patentability of a product does

Application/Control Number: 10/009,018

Art Unit: 3751

not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113). The armature is a stepped piston and is between the valve seat and the core.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument is that the sleeve ends at collar 17. This does not make sense to the examiner. The sleeve clearly ends at the valve seat, which is seen to be a generally continuous bottom portion. Also, the one piece sleeve has a stepped portion which accommodates a ring filter as shown in (10 Teile). The retaining collar is fixedly attached to the one-piece sleeve part and therefore the final product is completely disclosed in German Publication DE 198 14 304.4.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/009,018

Art Unit: 3751

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

December 22, 2004